The onset of turbulence in pipe flow

David Moxey¹, Dwight Barkley¹, Kerstin Avila, Alberto de Lozar, Marc Avila, Björn Hof

Mathematics Institute University of Warwick¹

British Applied Mathematics Conference, 13th April 2011

Introduction

Introduction

- Understanding instability in fluid flow and, specifically, the transition to turbulence are well-known outstanding problems in fluid dynamics (see Reynolds, 1883).
- Pipe flow is geometrically simple, but exhibits complex transitional behaviour.
- This is dependent upon the dimensionless *Reynolds number:*

$$\operatorname{Re} = \frac{\overline{U}D}{\nu}$$

Introduction

Introduction

- Understanding instability in fluid flow and, specifically, the transition to turbulence are well-known outstanding problems in fluid dynamics (see Reynolds, 1883).
- Pipe flow is geometrically simple, but exhibits complex transitional behaviour.
- This is dependent upon the dimensionless *Reynolds number:*

$$\operatorname{Re} = \frac{\overline{U}D}{\nu}$$

- However, the critical Reynolds number Re_c , above which turbulence becomes sustained, remains elusive.
- Best guess: Re_c ~ O(2,000)

Large-scale structures

00

- For 1,700 < Re < 2,300, introducing a disturbance to a laminar fluid results in the formation of *puffs*.
- They are small pockets of turbulence which co-exist with laminar flow and are important in understanding transitional behaviour.

Large-scale structures

- For $1,700 \le \text{Re} \le 2,300$, introducing a disturbance to a laminar fluid results in the formation of *puffs*.
- They are small pockets of turbulence which co-exist with laminar flow and are important in understanding transitional behaviour.
- y 0.5 -0.5
 - This puff was recorded at Re = 2,250 and has length L ≈ 25D, so larger pipe lengths are needed to capture their behaviour ⇒ more computer effort needed.

Large-scale structures

- For 1,700 < Re < 2,300, introducing a disturbance to a laminar fluid results in the formation of *puffs*.
- They are small pockets of turbulence which co-exist with laminar flow and are important in understanding transitional behaviour.
- 0.5-0.5

00

• This puff was recorded at Re = 2,250 and has length $L \approx 25D$. so larger pipe lengths are needed to capture their behaviour \Rightarrow more computer effort needed.

Questions:

- How do puffs behave?
- What role do they play in the transition process?

Puff decay

- Recent attempts to find ${\rm Re}_c$ have studied the decay of puffs for ${\rm Re} \leq$ 2,000.
- Decay is a *stochastic* process. We consider the survival function

 $S(t) = \mathbb{P}($ puff does not decay for $0 \le t' \le t)$

Puff decay

- Recent attempts to find Re_c have studied the decay of puffs for $\mathrm{Re} \leq$ 2,000.
- Decay is a *stochastic* process. We consider the survival function

 $S(t) = \mathbb{P}($ puff does not decay for $0 \le t' \le t)$

- To identify the distribution of *S*:
 - a simulation starts with an isolated puff;
 - observe the decay time for this puff (if any).

Puff decay

- Recent attempts to find Re_c have studied the decay of puffs for $\mathrm{Re} \leq$ 2,000.
- Decay is a *stochastic* process. We consider the survival function

 $S(t) = \mathbb{P}($ puff does not decay for $0 \le t' \le t)$

- To identify the distribution of *S*:
 - a simulation starts with an isolated puff;
 - observe the decay time for this puff (if any).
- Decay occurs according to an exponential distribution:
 - decay is memoryless;
 - puffs have mean lifetime au.
- Unfortunately, τ remains finite for any finite Re [Avila et al., 2010].

Puff splitting



VEN (E) E VOO

Puff splitting



These snapshots of streamwise vorticity $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}$ show the splitting process in action (courtesy of Marc Avila).

Spatio-temporal intermittency

Many simple stochastic systems exhibit similar intermittent properties; for example, *directed bond percolation*.



 $p < p_c$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Spatio-temporal intermittency

Many simple stochastic systems exhibit similar intermittent properties; for example, *directed bond percolation*.



Spatio-temporal intermittency

Many simple stochastic systems exhibit similar intermittent properties; for example, *directed bond percolation*.



▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

Splitting statistics

Observing puff splitting statistically, we calculate survival as

 $S(t) = 1 - P(t) = \mathbb{P}(\text{puff does not split for } 0 \le t' \le t)$

Splitting statistics

Observing puff splitting statistically, we calculate survival as

 $S(t) = 1 - P(t) = \mathbb{P}(\text{puff does not split for } 0 \le t' \le t)$



Lifetime statistics

From each of these distributions, we see that

```
S(t) = \exp(-(t-t_0)/\tau)
```

- Like decay, splitting is a *memoryless* process.
- t_0 is the initial formation time difficult to determine explicitly, but from observations we see that $t_0 \leq 170$.
- τ is the mean splitting time, and is a function of Re estimated using a maximum likelihood estimator (MLE).

By varying ${\rm Re},$ we calculate the density function and thus obtain τ as a function of ${\rm Re}.$

Distribution of lifetimes



Experimental points (coloured) from Kerstin Avila's PhD work.

Conclusions

• $\operatorname{Re}_{c} = 2,040 \pm 10.$

Conclusions

- $\operatorname{Re}_{c} = 2,040 \pm 10.$
- The critical point has been difficult to determine because of the complex spatial coupling and the very long timescales involved.
- It denotes a phase transition from states which are more probable to decay to those which are more likely to split.

Conclusions

- $\operatorname{Re}_{c} = 2,040 \pm 10.$
- The critical point has been difficult to determine because of the complex spatial coupling and the very long timescales involved.
- It denotes a phase transition from states which are more probable to decay to those which are more likely to split.

Further work:

- Determining the underlying mechanism for splitting.
- Applying these techniques to other shear flows.
- Studies to determine an exact value for t₀.